



Hebrew Bible Quotations in Arabic Transcription in Safavid Iran of the 11th/17th Century: Sayyed Aḥmad ‘Alavī’s Persian Refutations of Christianity

Dennis Halft OP

*Research Unit Intellectual History of the Islamicate World, Freie Universität Berlin
dennis.halft@dominikaner.de*

Abstract

In Muslim polemical writings on the Bible written in Arabic, scriptural quotations frequently appear in Arabic transcription of the original Hebrew. This phenomenon also occurs in the Persian refutations of Christianity by the 11th/17th-century Shī‘ī scholar Sayyed Aḥmad ‘Alavī. The adduced biblical materials, however, vary significantly depending on the particular manuscript or recension. Nevertheless, they reflect the common repertoire of scriptural verses invoked by Muslim authors. In contrast to Henry Corbin, who argued on the basis of the Hebrew verses transcribed in Arabic characters that ‘Alavī was a Hebraist and directly acquainted with the Jewish Scriptures, it is suggested here that the Shī‘ī scholar relied instead on lists of biblical “testimonies” to Muḥammad. Although ‘Alavī’s literary sources are as yet unknown due to a lack of research, there is evidence from the manuscripts dating from ‘Alavī’s lifetime that he copied the transcribed Bible quotations from earlier Muslim writings.

Keywords

Arabic transcription of Hebrew, Biblical testimonies to the Prophet Muḥammad, Henry Corbin, Muslim Bible reception, Muslim polemics against Judaism and Christianity, Safavid Iran, Sayyed Aḥmad ‘Alavī, Shī‘ī refutations of Christianity

I

Despite the allegations in the Qur’ān that Jews and Christians have tampered with their divine scriptures, Muslim writers frequently draw on the Bible to prove the veracity of the mission of the Prophet Muḥammad.¹ The scriptural

¹) This article is based on a paper presented at the International Research Workshop “The Bible in Arabic among Jews, Christians and Muslims,” Tel Aviv University, 22–24 May 2012. Thanks to a research grant from the *Deutsche Morgenländische Gesellschaft* I was able to access various sources during a stay in Iran in 2011. I should like to take the opportunity to thank Mohammad Ebrahim

material was transmitted in collections of biblical “testimonies” included in intermediary sources, among the earliest known ones being the *K. al-dīn wa-l-dawla* by the Nestorian convert to Islam ‘Alī b. Rabban al-Ṭabarī (d. 251/865) and, in particular, Abū Muḥammad ‘Abd Allāh b. Muslim b. Qutayba’s (d. 276/889) *A’lām al-nubuwwa*.² Both writings give evidence of the general tendency in Muslim polemical literature to reproduce biblical verses and traditional arguments against Judaism and Christianity over centuries.³ This apparently also applies to scriptural quotations in Syriac, Greek and especially Hebrew that were transcribed in Arabic characters.⁴ Although portions of the Bible were available in Arabic translation since the late 8th or early 9th century CE,⁵ Muslim writers drew on biblical verses in the languages used by Jews and Christians, probably with the purpose of authenticating the claim of Muḥammad’s prophethood and, in the case of Shī‘ī authors, the advent of the imāms.⁶ Although this phenomenon has attracted scholarly attention since the late 19th century CE, the research on the sources used by Muslim authors still remains a *desideratum* in the field of Islamic studies.

Alizadeh, Ahmad Nabavi and Sadegh Sajjadi for making some of the manuscripts consulted for this study available to me and to Camilla Adang and Sabine Schmidtke for their valuable comments on an earlier draft of this article.

² Adang, “A Rare Case”; Schmidtke, “Abū al-Ḥusayn al-Baṣrī and His Transmission”; eadem, “Abū al-Ḥusayn al-Baṣrī on the Torah”; eadem, “Biblical Predictions”; eadem, “The Muslim Reception”. Ibn Rabban and Ibn Qutayba themselves apparently relied on earlier sources which, however, do not appear to have been preserved.

³ For an introduction to Muslim polemics and further references, see Adang, *Muslim Writers* (with a survey of verses from the Hebrew Bible invoked by Muslim authors, pp. 264–266); Lazarus-Yafeh, *Intertwined Worlds*; Nickel, *Narratives of Tampering*.

⁴ For Syriac and Greek verses transcribed in Arabic characters, see, e.g. Baumstark, “Zu den Schriftzitate,” pp. 308–311; Hasenmüller, “Die Beschreibung Muḥammads,” pp. 121–125, 131–135, 137f., 140f., 155–159, 164–167, 170, 173f., 176–178, 180f.; Kraus, “Hebräische und syrische Zitate,” pp. 246, 250, 258f. For Hebrew verses, see, e.g. ‘Abd al-Ḥaqq al-Islāmī, *al-Sayf al-mamdūd*, pp. 11–144; Adang, “A Polemic against Judaism,” pp. 148–150; Goldziher, “Sa‘id b. Hasan,” pp. 12–15, 22f.; Kraus, “Hebräische und syrische Zitate,” pp. 245–250, 253–257, 259–263; Monferrer Sala, “Siete citas hebreas,” pp. 395–398; al-Qarāfi, *al-Ajwibat al-fākhira*, p. 100 (I am indebted to Diego R. Sarrió Cucarella, M.Afr., for bringing these two last references to my attention); al-Sa‘ūdī, *al-Muntakhab*, ed. van den Ham, p. 210f., and ed. al-Ṣafanāwī l-Badri, p. 272f.; Schreiner, “Zur Geschichte der Aussprache,” pp. 243–255; Weston, “The *Kitāb Masālik an-Naṣar*,” pp. 319–321, 324–351, 361–378.

⁵ Griffith, *The Church in the Shadow*, p. 50. Cf. also idem, *The Bible in Arabic*; Vollandt, “Christian-Arab Translations,” pp. 19–59.

⁶ Interestingly, it was obviously more important to argue on the meaning of the adduced verse than to reproduce the original script. An early exception seems to be al-Maḥḍī (d. after 355/966) who alongside the Arabic transcription also gives the biblical verses in Hebrew characters, cf. his *K. al-bad’*, vol. 5, pp. 32–34 (translation), pp. 29–32 (Arabic numbers, text).

II

In early modern Safavid Iran, biblical passages in an Arabic transcription of the original Hebrew also appear in the Persian refutations of Christianity entitled *Lavāme‘-e rabbānī dar radd-e shobha-ye naṣrānī* (1031/1621) and *Meṣqal-e ṣafā‘ dar tajleya va taṣfeya-ye Āyena-ye ḥaqq-nomā* (1032/1622), composed by the well-known Shī‘ī scholar Sayyed Aḥmad ‘Alavī (d. between 1054/1644 and 1060/1650). The large number of sources, namely about a dozen manuscripts of the former tract and twice as many of the latter, provides evidence for the relatively wide diffusion of ‘Alavī’s polemical writings.⁷ An examination of the transmitted manuscripts shows that the adduced Hebrew biblical material is subject to considerable variations, depending on the manuscript or recension concerned. This development can already be attested to in the manuscripts dating from the author’s lifetime.

The earliest known manuscript of *Lavāme‘-e rabbānī*, MS Cambridge, University Dd.6.83 dated in the colophon to 5 Sha‘bān 1031/15 June 1622, quotes only Genesis 17:20 in an Arabic transcription of the Hebrew.⁸ Other verses of the Pentateuch are rendered in Arabic translation.⁹ The only known manuscript of *Meṣqal-e ṣafā‘* bearing ‘Alavī’s personal signature can be found in MS Rome, Biblioteca vaticana Borg. pers. 5. The date of composition is given in the colophon as 1032/1622.¹⁰ In this manuscript, Deuteronomy

⁷ Currently the most comprehensive, though still incomplete, reference work listing numerous copies of ‘Alavī’s polemical writings is Derāyatī, *Fehrestvāra*, vol. 8, p. 1106, vol. 9, p. 692. Although both tracts are available in print (cf. Aḥmad ‘Alavī, “Lavāme‘-e rabbānī,” ed. Sa‘īd; idem, *Meṣqal-e ṣafā‘*, ed. Nāji Eṣfahānī), a critical edition based on a comprehensive discussion of the transmitted manuscripts and recensions is still lacking. For an analysis of selected manuscripts of *Lavāme‘-e rabbānī* and their textual variations, see my “Schiitische Polemik”. Regarding the primary sources of *Meṣqal-e ṣafā‘*, I propose to discuss its manuscripts and recensions in my Ph.D. dissertation which is currently in preparation.

⁸ MS Cambridge, University Dd.6.83, fols 14b:10–15a:1. For a description of this copy, see my “Schiitische Polemik,” p. 314f.

⁹ Interestingly, the Arabic translation of Deut. 33:2a has interpolated the word *al-nūr* and translates “the light” as coming from Mount Sinai instead of God himself. This variant apparently avoids an anthropomorphism and is already to be found in 4th/10th-century Shī‘ī writings such as Ibn Bābawayh, *K. al-tawḥīd*, p. 427f.; idem, *‘Uyūn*, vol. 1, p. 165. In MS Cambridge, University Dd.6.83, fol. 13a:8–10, the verse runs as follows:

جَاءَ الثُّورُ مِنْ جَبَلِ طُورِ سَيْنَا، وَ أَصَاءَ لَنَا مِنْ جَبَلِ سَاعِيرٍ، وَ اسْتَعْنَى عَلَيْنَا مِنْ جَبَلِ قَارَانَ.

¹⁰ MS Rome, Biblioteca vaticana Borg. pers. 5, fol. 174b:7. For ‘Alavī’s signature at the end of a personal note, see fol. 175b:1–2 of the manuscript. His hand can be established by comparison with other writings which have preserved glosses in the author’s hand including his signature. Cf. E’tēšāmī, *Fehrest*, vol. 5, pp. 450f., 520 (with a facsimile of *Kuḥl al-abṣār*, MS Tehran, Majles 1944, fol. 1b); Monzavī and Dāneshpazhūh, *Fehrest*, vol. 1, pp. 189–191; Aḥmad ‘Alavī, *Latā‘ef-e ghaybiyya*,

33:2a appears in addition to Genesis 17:20, both transcribed in Arabic characters.¹¹

In other manuscripts of *Meşqal-e şafā'* which date from some years later in Shāh Şafi's (r. 1039/1629–1052/1642) era but still in 'Alavī's lifetime, Hebrew verses now appear in different transcription systems alongside word for word translations from Hebrew into Persian. In MS Mashhad, Āstān-e Qods 256 and MS Tehran, Dāneshgāh 3531 F ('aksī) which both contain glosses in 'Alavī's hand and are dedicated in the prologue to Shāh Şafi,¹² Deuteronomy 33:2a and Genesis 17:20 are quoted in two different transcriptions.¹³ Moreover, Deuteronomy 33:2b appears in a fully transcribed Hebrew quotation, whereas Deuteronomy 18:15 and Zechariah 9:9 appear only as word for word translations from Hebrew into Persian.¹⁴

The most extensive biblical material in Arabic transcription of Hebrew, however, is transmitted in a manuscript of *Meşqal-e şafā'* which bears no autographical note but is also dedicated in the prologue to Shāh Şafi, namely MS Tehran, Majles 715.¹⁵ In this manuscript we find, in order of appearance, the Hebrew verses Deuteronomy 33:2a (in two different transcriptions) and 2b, Genesis 17:20 (in two different transcriptions), Deuteronomy 18:13 and 15, Deuteronomy 18:18–19 as well as Deuteronomy 34:10 which are all transcribed in Arabic characters and translated word for word into Persian.¹⁶ The fact that Deuteronomy

pp. 84, 86 (introduction). The two latter references give facsimiles of *Latā'ef-e ghaybī*, MS Tehran, Dāneshgāh 74, fols 17b, 151a. For a description of MS Rome, Biblioteca vaticana Borg. pers. 5, see Rossi, *Elenco*, p. 163.

¹¹ MS Rome, Biblioteca vaticana Borg. pers. 5, fols 81a:10–11, 82b:2–4. Isolated Hebrew terms which occasionally appear in the manuscripts of *Meşqal-e şafā'* are not considered in this article.

¹² MS Mashhad, Āstān-e Qods 256, fols 1b (margin), 3a:10–11 (own foliation); MS Tehran, Dāneshgāh 3531 F ('aksī), fols 1b, 2a, 3a (margins), 3a:3–4 (own foliation). For a description of MS Mashhad, Āstān-e Qods 256, see Ardalān Javān, *Fehrest*, vol. 1, p. 217 f.; Āşef Fekrat, *Fehrest-e alefbārī*, p. 526. For a description of MS Tehran, Dāneshgāh 3531 F ('aksī), see Dāneshpazhūh, *Fehrest-e mīkrūfilmhā*, vol. 3, p. 173. These photographs are presumably taken from a manuscript which used to be preserved in the private collection of the late Ja'far Mīr Dāmādī in Tehran. This is established by various parallels between the photographs and Nāji Eşfahānī's description of Mīr Dāmādī's copy, namely glosses in 'Alavī's hand on the same folios, the dedication to Shāh Şafi and further details as far as I can verify them in the photographs. For the description, see Aḥmad 'Alavī, *Meşqal-e şafā'*, ed. Nāji Eşfahānī, p. 103 f. The current location of the manuscript is unknown to me.

¹³ MS Mashhad, Āstān-e Qods 256, fols 76a:5–8, 77b:11–78a:3; MS Tehran, Dāneshgāh 3531 F ('aksī), fols 72b:4–7, 74a:8–12.

¹⁴ MS Mashhad, Āstān-e Qods 256, fols 76b:6–7, 79a:3–7, 79b:3–12; MS Tehran, Dāneshgāh 3531 F ('aksī), fols 73a:5, 75a:11–75b:3, 75b:10–76a:6.

¹⁵ MS Tehran, Majles 715, fol. 3a:10. For a description of this copy, see E'teşāmī, *Fehrest*, vol. 1, p. 58, no. 194, vol. 2, p. 453 f., no. 715. A microfilm is available in the Ketābkhāna-ye Markazī-ye Dāneshgāh, Tehran, cf. Dāneshpazhūh, *Fehrest-e mīkrūfilmhā*, vol. 3, p. 84, no. 4654.

¹⁶ In the appendix, I shall present the transcribed Hebrew verses with their respective Persian

18:15 appears twice in different translations suggests a later insertion in the text on the basis of different sources.¹⁷ Besides Zechariah 9:9, Habakkuk 3:3 and Isaiah 9:2 are also adduced here in word for word translations from Hebrew into Persian.¹⁸

In contrast to all previously mentioned manuscripts, MS Tehran, Majles 715 reflects a particular Jewish flavour which suggests the influence of a Jewish informant. This is shown by the fact that the portions of the Jewish cycle of Torah reading are indicated before the citation of Genesis 17:20 and Deuteronomy 18:13 and 15, namely the Parashot *Lekh-Lekha*, respectively, *Shoftim*.¹⁹ A Hebrew quotation from the Jewish Midrash, given under reference to “the leading exegetes of the Jews”, is also adduced in Arabic transcription. In favour of the Muslim argument on Deuteronomy 34:10, it allegedly gives evidence for the advent of a prophet “among others than the Children of Israel”, namely Muḥammad, who is said to be a descendant of Ishmael.²⁰

In sum, the sources of ‘Alavī’s refutations suggest a development towards the insertion of more differentiated and extensive Hebrew biblical quotations transcribed in Arabic characters and word for word translations into Persian in later manuscripts. This development probably began shortly after ‘Alavī’s original composition and continued until his death in the mid-11th/17th century. Still, the adduced scriptural verses including additions and revisions are far from being original but are rather standard for Muslim polemical writings on the Bible.

III

Although in the current state of research we do not at present know ‘Alavī’s sources, there is no evidence that he was a Hebraist with a direct knowledge of the Jewish Scriptures. Nevertheless, it has been argued by previous scholarship since the 1970s that the adduced Hebrew verses transcribed in Arabic characters were indications for ‘Alavī’s acquaintance with Hebrew. The prominent French philosopher and orientalist Henry Corbin (1903–1978), for instance, was among the first Western scholars who turned their attention to

translation as transmitted in MS Tehran, Majles 715, fols 75a:7–75b:12 (Deut. 33:2); 76b:11–77b:5 (Gen. 17:20); 78a:13–78b:11, 79b:9–13 (Deut. 18:13 and 15); 78b:12–79a:11 (Deut. 18:18–19); 79a:13–79b:8 (Deut. 34:10); 80a:2–8 (Hab. 3:3); 80a:14–80b:11 (Zech. 9:9); 81a:4–9 (Isa. 9:2).

¹⁷ Ibid., fols 78b:6–11, 79b:9–13.

¹⁸ Ibid., fols 80a:2–8, 80a:14–80b:11, 81a:4–9.

¹⁹ Ibid., fols 76b:11, 78a:13. Cf. the appendix, nos 2 and 3.

²⁰ Ibid., fol. 79b:4–8. For the Midrash quote, see the appendix, no. 5.

‘Alavī’s theological and philosophical thinking.²¹ Based on his research on the above-mentioned MS Tehran, Majles 715, Corbin came to the conclusion:²²

Ce théologien-philosophe de l’Ispahan des Safavides [‘Alavī, D.H.] savait, chose remarquable, parfaitement l’hébreu; il cite textuellement l’Ancien Testament en transcrivant l’hébreu en caractères arabes et le traduisant mot à mot en persan. Il s’offre même le luxe d’indiquer des variantes. Il semble que quelque relation rabbinique secrète doive être présupposée pour expliquer qu’un théologien shī’ite iranien pût, à l’époque, être si bon hébraïsant. Il a en outre une parfaite connaissance des Évangiles canoniques.²³

Following Corbin, later scholars similarly claimed that the biblical verses in Arabic transcription of the Hebrew text allegedly give evidence for ‘Alavī’s erudition and his direct access to the Hebrew Bible, possibly with the aid of a Jew or a Jewish convert to Islam. Thus the French scholar Francis Richard stated on the basis of an undated manuscript of *Meşqal-e şafā’*, MS Paris, Bibliothèque nationale Suppl. persan 12:²⁴

Sayyid Ahmad ‘Alavī (...) semble s’être fait aider par quelqu’un connaissant l’hébreu, peut être un Juif converti, de manière à utiliser des arguments tirés du texte hébreu du Deutéronome lui-même. (...) On sait en effet que Sayyid Ahmad avait tiré certains de ses arguments décisifs contre la véracité de la doctrine chrétienne de la Torah elle-même.²⁵

Such conclusions, however, which are still echoed today,²⁶ seem to be questionable in the light of the transmitted sources. Corbin’s first argument that ‘Alavī had a perfect knowledge of Hebrew is challenged by the fact that no

²¹ Corbin, “Annuaire 1976–1977”; idem, *La philosophie iranienne*, pp. 168–179; idem, “Theologoumena,” pp. 232–235. Corbin’s conclusions need to be critically re-examined on the basis of the sources that are accessible today.

²² Corbin apparently mixed up the shelf marks in his various contributions. Instead of MS Tehran, Majles 715 (see his *En Islam iranien*, vol. 4, p. 27, n. 27) he numbered the copy elsewhere “725” or “726”, cf. Corbin, “Annuaire 1976–1977,” p. 171; idem, “Theologoumena,” p. 233, n. 28. It is beyond doubt, however, that Corbin was referring to MS Tehran, Majles 715.

²³ Corbin, “Annuaire 1976–1977,” p. 169. Corbin even speculated about an interreligious interaction between scholars of the “People of the Scriptures” in Isfahan, cf. idem, “Theologoumena,” pp. 233, 235.

²⁴ This manuscript gives Deut. 33:2a and Gen. 17:20 in a single Arabic transcription of Hebrew, cf. MS Paris, Bibliothèque nationale Suppl. persan 12, fols 190b:3, 192a:3–4. For a description of this copy, see Blochet, *Catalogue*, vol. 1, p. 33 f., no. 52 (the indicated shelf mark “Supplément 11” at the very end needs to be corrected to “Supplément 12”).

²⁵ Richard, “Le Père Aimé Chézaud,” pp. 13, 15. Cf. also idem, “Catholicisme et Islam chiite,” p. 384.

²⁶ Flannery, “The Persian Mission,” p. 104, n. 360; Harrow, “Notes on Catholic-Shī’i Relations,” p. 109, n. 31, p. 120. It remains unclear to me on which sources Harrow’s assumptions are based.

word for word translation into Persian is to be found in the earliest dated manuscripts, namely MS Cambridge, University Dd.6.83 and MS Rome, Biblioteca vaticana Borg. pers. 5. Moreover, it is striking that several inaccuracies appear in the transcribed quotations of Hebrew verses such as Genesis 17:20 which is commonly interpreted in Shī'ī writings as predicting the mission of the twelve imāms. In all the early manuscripts of both *Lavāme'e rab-bānī* and *Meşqal-e şafā'* single Hebrew terms are regularly omitted (*nesūim, yolid, untatiy*).²⁷ An accurate quotation of the verse is only to be found in the first of two transcription variants preserved in MS Tehran, Majles 715 on which Corbin exclusively based his conclusions.²⁸ This suggests that 'Alavī was not acquainted with Hebrew but copied the verse in a defective Arabic transcription from an earlier source. Later, Genesis 17:20 was apparently rectified in part as transmitted in MS Tehran, Majles 715. Interestingly, a full quotation of the same verse but in a different transcription also appears in a recension of *al-Kashkūl* (1002/1593), composed by 'Alavī's teacher Bahā' al-Dīn Muḥammad b. Ḥusayn al-Āmilī (d. 1030/1621).²⁹ This transcription variant of Genesis 17:20 with its characteristic *مند میند* for *נד נד*, followed by a translation into Persian, comes fairly close to the one already included in Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm al-Nu'mānī's (d. about 360/971) *K. al-Ghayba*.³⁰ The manuscripts of 'Alavī's polemics, however, obviously rely on a transcription tradition which is different from the one found in *al-Kashkūl*.

Corbin's second argument, that the biblical material incorporated in 'Alavī's refutations is based on the Hebrew Bible itself, also appears unlikely. Since the Shī'ī scholar reproduces common scriptural verses and traditional arguments as they appear in various earlier Muslim writings, there was no need to consult the Jewish Scriptures directly. The argumentation concerning Deuteronomy 33:2, for instance, as given in all the above-mentioned manuscripts of 'Alavī's refutations, recalls well-known literary *topoi* which already appear in Ibn Qutayba's list of biblical "testimonies" in his 3rd/9th-century tract *Alām al-nubuwwa*. 'Alavī apparently relied on an intermediary source which likewise refers to "the land of Abraham" (*zamīn-e Khalīl*) where Mount Seir is said to have been located. In addition, the same connection between the village of

²⁷ MS Cambridge, University Dd.6.83, fol. 15a1; MS Mashhad, Āstān-e Qods 256, fol. 78a1 and 3; MS Rome, Biblioteca vaticana Borg. pers. 5, fol. 82b:4; MS Tehran, Dāneshgāh 3531 F ('aksī), fol. 74a:10 and 12. Cf. also MS Paris, Bibliothèque nationale Suppl. persan 12, fol. 192a:4.

²⁸ MS Tehran, Majles 715, fol. 76b:12–14.

²⁹ For Gen. 17:20 transcribed in Arabic characters, see Bahā' al-Dīn Muḥammad b. Ḥusayn al-Āmilī, *al-Kashkūl*, vol. 2, p. 611 (I thank Simon W. Fuchs for making the edition of Muḥammad Şādiq Naşīrī available to me); Bosworth, *Bahā' al-Dīn al-Āmilī*, p. 52.

³⁰ Al-Nu'mānī, *K. al-Ghayba*, p. 108.

Nazareth (*Nāṣira*) and the Arabic name for Christians (*al-Naṣārā*) is established, as Ibn Qutayba had already done.³¹ That the Shīʿī scholar relied on earlier literary sources is also suggested by an Arabic quotation which apparently draws on Genesis 21:13–14, given under reference to the Qurʾān commentator Ismāʿīl b. ʿAbd al-Raḥmān al-Suddī (d. 127/745).³² This quotation which is commonly attributed to al-Suddī also appears in later 11th/17th-century Shīʿī works, namely Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan Ḥurr al-ʿĀmilī’s (d. 1104/1693) *al-Jawāhir al-saniyya fī l-ahādīth al-qudsiyya* and Muḥammad Bāqir b. Muḥammad Taqī l-Majlisī’s (d. about 1110/1699) *Biḥār al-anwār*. Both authors refer to ʿAlī b. Mūsā b. Ṭāwūs’ (d. 664/1266) *al-Ṭarāʾif fī maʿrifat madhāhib al-ṭawāʾif* as their source.³³ Thus it is reasonable that ʿAlavī likewise quoted al-Suddī through an intermediary source which still needs to be identified.

Corbin’s third argument that the adduced variants of an Arabic transcription of Hebrew verses as found in several manuscripts of ʿAlavī’s *Meṣqal-e ṣafāʾ* argue for the author’s direct acquaintance with the Jewish Scriptures, is not justified either. On the contrary, since it seems unlikely to assume that there were Pentateuch manuscripts in 11th/17th-century Isfahan with such variant Hebrew texts, the variations of the Arabic transcription rather indicate that different Muslim sources were used by ʿAlavī. Although the phonetic transcription of Hebrew in Arabic characters in Muslim polemical literature is in need of further study, there are indications in the manuscripts of the Shīʿī scholar’s writings which suggest that the transcriptions were, at least in part, originally devised by Muslims.³⁴ Considering the different transcription variants in the

³¹ Cf. MS Cambridge, University Dd.6.83, fol. 13a:10–13b:9; MS Mashhad, Āstān-e Qods 256, fol. 77a:10–77b:6; MS Paris, Bibliothèque nationale Suppl. persan 12, fol. 191a:7–191b:6; MS Rome, Biblioteca vaticana Borg. pers. 5, fol. 82a:1–9; MS Tehran, Dāneshgāh 3531 F (ʿaksī), fols 73b:8–74a:3; MS Tehran, Majles 715, fol. 76a:11–76b:6, and Schmidtke, “The Muslim Reception,” p. 254, no. 3. For an English translation of the corresponding passage as quoted in Ibn al-Jawzī’s *Wafāʾ*, see Adang, *Muslim Writers*, p. 268.

³² Although the author frequently draws on biblical materials in his *Tafsīr*, I was unable to identify this quotation according to al-Suddī, *Tafsīr al-Suddī l-kabīr*. The passage which is transmitted in the all above-mentioned manuscripts of *Meṣqal-e ṣafāʾ* runs, according to MS Tehran, Majles 715, fol. 77b:8–12, as follows:

لَمَّا كَرِهَتْ سَارَةَ مَكَانَ هَاجِرِ أَوْحَى اللَّهُ تَعَالَى إِلَى إِبْرَاهِيمَ الْخَلِيلِ عَلَيْهِ السَّلَامُ، فَقَالَ: انْطَلِقْ بِأَسْمِعِيلَ وَ
 أُمِّهِ حَتَّى تَنْزِلَهُ بَيْتِي التَّهَامِي، يَعْنِي مَكَّةَ، فَاتَى نَاشِرَ ذُرِّيَّتِهَا وَجَاعَلَ مِنْهُمْ نَبِيًّا عَظِيمًا، وَجَاعَلَ مِنْ ذُرِّيَّتِهِ
 اثْنَيْ عَشَرَ عَظِيمًا.

³³ Al-Majlisī, *Biḥār al-anwār*, vol. 36, p. 214; Ḥurr al-ʿĀmilī, *al-Jawāhir al-saniyya*, p. 311 f. For the quotation according to Ibn Ṭāwūs, see his *al-Ṭarāʾif*, p. 172.

³⁴ Plosive *pe* is rendered by *bāʾ*: البَائِم (ب-ا-ي-م) —Deut. 34:10, MS Tehran, Majles 715, fol. 79a:14,

sources, it seems that ‘Alavī was not sufficiently acquainted with the Hebrew language and Scriptures to decide on the quality of the respective transcription, but rather copied them side by side into his refutation. Such a procedure can already be observed in Muḥammad b. ‘Alī b. Shahrāshūb’s (d. 588/1192) *Manāqib Āl Abī Tālib* in which Genesis 17:20 is also addressed in two different transcriptions.³⁵ The author identifies Faḍl b. Ḥasan al-Ṭabarsī’s (d. 548/1153) *I’lām al-warā bi-a’lām al-hudā* as his source for the first variant and Aḥmad b. Muḥammad b. ‘Ayyāsh al-Jawharī’s (d. 401/1011) *Muqtaḍab al-athar fi l-naṣṣ ‘alā l-a’immat al-iṭhnay ‘ashar* for the second transcription.³⁶ The latter also served as a source for ‘Alī b. Yūnus Bayāḍī (d. 877/1472–1473) who includes the transcribed Hebrew text of Genesis 17:20 in his *al-Širāt al-mustaḡim ilā mustaḥiqqīl-taqdīm*.³⁷ A transcription of the verse is also included in al-Majlisī’s late 11th/17th-century *Bihār al-anwār* who identifies Ibn Shahrāshūb’s *Manāqib* as his source.³⁸

In view of the fact that earlier transcription variants of Hebrew biblical verses reappear in Shi‘ī writings in Safavid Iran, it is reasonable to assume that this also applies to the verses included in the manuscripts of ‘Alavī’s polemics. Interestingly, in the same period in the Ottoman Empire, biblical verses in an Arabic transcription of Hebrew also emerged in Ottoman Turkish refutations of Judaism which possibly drew on earlier Arabic sources.³⁹ The phenomenon of

79b:4) or *pe*: پاران (פָּאָרָן—Deut. 33:2, MS Mashhad, Āstān-e Qods 256, fol. 76a:6, 8 and 10, 76b:5; MS Tehran, Dāneshgāh 3531 F (‘aksī), fols 72b:5, 7 and 9, 73a:4; MS Tehran, Majles 715, fol. 75a:11 and 13, 75b:7); פָּאָנִים (פָּאָנִים—Deut. 34:10, MS Tehran, Majles 715, fol. 79a:14). Long *gameṣ* is transcribed by *wāw*: עִסּוּר (עִסּוּר—Gen. 17:20, MS Cambridge, University Dd.6.83, fols 14b:11, 15a:6 and 9; MS Mashhad, Āstān-e Qods 256, fols 77b:10, 78a:2; MS Paris, Bibliothèque nationale Suppl. persan 12, fol. 192a:4; MS Rome, Biblioteca vaticana Borg. pers. 5, fol. 82b:4; MS Tehran, Dāneshgāh 3531 F (‘aksī), fol. 74a:7 and 11; MS Tehran, Majles 715, fol. 77a:14); כּוּזֵיל (כּוּזֵיל—Gen. 17:20, MS Cambridge, University Dd.6.83, fol. 15a:1; MS Mashhad, Āstān-e Qods 256, fol. 78a:3; MS Paris, Bibliothèque nationale Suppl. persan 12, fol. 192a:4; MS Rome, Biblioteca vaticana Borg. pers. 5, fol. 82b:4; MS Tehran, Dāneshgāh 3531 F (‘aksī), fol. 74a:12; MS Tehran, Majles 715, fol. 77a:14). *šere* is rendered by *yā’*: בִּרְאֵחִי (בִּרְאֵחִי—Gen. 17:20, MS Cambridge, University Dd.6.83, fol. 14b:10; MS Mashhad, Āstān-e Qods 256, fol. 78a:2; MS Paris, Bibliothèque nationale Suppl. persan 12, fol. 192a:3; MS Rome, Biblioteca vaticana Borg. pers. 5, fol. 82b:2; MS Tehran, Dāneshgāh 3531 F (‘aksī), fol. 74a:11; MS Tehran, Majles 715, fol. 77a:13). The word *šē* is transcribed לו (MS Tehran, Majles 715, fols 78b:14, 79a:9, 13 and 14). Cf. Khan, *Karaite Bible Manuscripts*, pp. 7, 9; idem, “The Medieval Karaite Transcriptions,” p. 166 f.

³⁵ Ibn Shahrāshūb, *Manāqib*, vol. 1, pp. 246, 259.

³⁶ Ibn ‘Ayyāsh al-Jawharī, *Muqtaḍab al-athar*, p. 40; al-Ṭabarsī, *I’lām al-warā*, vol. 1, p. 59. The transcriptions of the Hebrew verse, however, considerably vary from each other in the editions concerned.

³⁷ al-Bayāḍī, *al-Širāt al-mustaḡim*, vol. 2, p. 238 f.

³⁸ al-Majlisī, *Bihār al-anwār*, vol. 36, p. 214.

³⁹ On *Keṣṣūl-esrār fi ilzāmīl-Yehūd ve’l-ahbār* (1016/1607) by the Jewish convert to Islam Yūsuf

Hebrew verses transcribed in Arabic characters is neither confined to Muslim writings in Arabic nor to anti-Jewish tracts but appears in Ottoman Turkish as well as Persian polemics against Judaism and Christianity from the early 11th/17th century onwards.

IV

In conclusion, there is no evidence from the manuscripts of *Lavāme‘-e rabbānī* and *Meşqal-e şafī‘* that ‘Alavī was acquainted with Hebrew, nor that he had any direct knowledge of the Hebrew Bible. Although the manuscripts which date from ‘Alavī’s lifetime reflect a significant increase in Hebrew scriptural quotations transcribed in Arabic, they still belong to the traditional *corpus* of verses that are commonly invoked by Muslim writers. Despite the possible involvement of a Jewish informant, it seems reasonable to assume that ‘Alavī relied on earlier lists of biblical “testimonies” to Muḥammad included in intermediary sources which provided the Hebrew material in Arabic transcription. Even though ‘Alavī’s sources remain as yet unknown due to a lack of research, the assumptions made by Corbin and other scholars do not seem to be justified. In view of the arguments proposed in this article and our knowledge about the transmission of biblical materials in Muslim writings, ‘Alavī’s refutations of Christianity cannot be considered as proof of a Hebraist’s hand.

Ibn Abī ‘Abd al-Dayyān (Yūsuf Ibn Ebī ‘Abdū‘d-Deyyān), for instance, see Schmidtke and Adang, “Aḥmad b. Muşţafā Ṭāshkubrīzāde,” p. 84. For the Hebrew passages included in his refutation, see Pfeiffer, “Confessional Polarization,” pp. 44–46, 49 f.

Appendix

The biblical passages according to the Masoretic text of the Hebrew Bible and in the Arabic transcription and the word for word translation into Persian as found in MS Tehran, Majles 715:

1. Deuteronomy 33:2

וַיֹּאמֶר יְהוָה מִסִּינַי בָּא וְזָרַח מִשְׁעִיר לְמוֹ הַזֹּפִיעַ מִהָר פָּאָרָן וְאַתָּה מִרְבֶּבֶת קִדְשׁ מִיַּמִּינוֹ
אֲשַׁדָּת לְמוֹ.

وَيَوْمَ اذْوَءَ مَسِيْنَايْ بَا وَزَارِحْ مَسْعِيْرَ لَامُوْ هُوْفِيْعِ مِهْرَ پاران، يا به اين عبارت: وَيَوْمَ اذْوَءَايْ مَسِيْنَايْ بَا وَزَارِحْ مَسْعِيْرَ لَامُوْ هُوْفِيْعِ مِهْرَ پاران،
يعني امر کرد خدای تعالی در کوه سینا و لامع و هویدا گردید از سعیر یعنی کوه ساعیر، و
طالع و پیدا گردید از مهر پاران یعنی از کوه فاران، ویومار یعنی امر کرده، اذونای یعنی
خدای، میسینای یعنی از چه می یعنی از است درین لغت و سینای طور سینا
است، با یعنی آمد، و زارح یعنی بدرخشید، میسعیر یعنی از کوه ساعیر، و در بعضی
از نسخ توراة میم مکسوره است و بعد از آن سین ممله ساکنه و آن نیز بمعنی از
است،⁴⁰ لَامُوْ یعنی به ایشان، هُوْفِيْعِ یعنی فیض دهنده، مِهْرَ یعنی از کوه چه هر بمعنی
کوهست و م بمعنی از، پاران یعنی فارن، (...)
وَآتَا مِری وَوُوتَ قُودَشْ مِیْمِیْتُوْ اَشْ دَاتْ لَامُو، (...)
وَآتَا یعنی بیاید، مِری یعنی چندین، وَوُوتَ یعنی هزار،⁴¹ قُودَشْ یعنی خاص، مِیْمِیْتُوْ یعنی از
راستان او، اَشْ یعنی آتش، دَاتْ یعنی شرع، لَامُوْ یعنی به ایشان.

⁴⁰ Interestingly, the writer comments on a small orthographical variation between Pentateuch manuscripts which he claims to know. After translating the Hebrew [משעיר] میسعیر into Persian [از کوه ساعیر], he remarks that “in some copies of the Torah [the first letter] *mīm* [= Hebrew *mem*] is marked with the vowel *kasra* [= Hebrew *chireq*] and, after that, [the letter] *šīn* [= Hebrew *šīn*] is without diacritical point and vowelless [= [משعیر]]. Even so, the meaning [of م] is ‘from.’ The writer apparently expects a *dagesh forte* and a short vowel in the initial letter of שעיר after the assimilation of the final consonant of מך with the following. Such a familiarity with Hebrew grammar rather suggests the source of a Jewish informant.

⁴¹ The Arabic transcription of מרבבת is split into the parts مِری and وَوُوتَ.

2. Genesis 17:20

וְלִישְׁמַעֵאל שְׁמַעְתִּיךָ הִנֵּה בִרְכָתִי אֲתוֹ וְהַרְבִּיתִי אֲתוֹ וְהִרְבִּיתִי אֲתוֹ בְּמֵאָד מְאֹד שְׁנַיִם-עָשָׂר וְשִׁיבָּה יוֹלִיד וְנִתְּתִיו לְגֹי גְדוֹל.

در آخر فصل خلخا (...) باین عبارت: و لیشمعل شمعتیخا هن برختی اوئو وهفرتی اوئو وهزیتی اوئو بماد ماد شم عاسار نسی ایم یولید ونططیو لغوی کادول،
 لخلخا یعنی برو ای ابرهیم ازین زمین بزمن کعبه، و لیشمعل از برای اسمعیل، شمعتیخا شنیدم گفته ترا در باره دعا از جمت بقاء او، هن اینک، برختی آفرین کردم، اوئو او را، وهفرتی یعنی برومند کردم او را از همه زیادتی فرزندان، اوئو او را، وهزیتی بسیار کردم، اوئو او را، بماد ماد در غایت غایت بزرگی بهره مند گردانم، کنایه است آحضرت رسالت صلی الله علیه و آله، و عدد این بحساب جمل موافق اسم محمد است، شم یعنی دو، عاسار یعنی ده، نسیایم، جمع ناسی، یعنی بزرگ و سر کرده قوم، یولید بزاید، ونططیو بدادم او را، لغوی یعنی بقوم، کادول بزرگ،
 یا باین عبارت: ولشماعیل شماتیخو هتا بیراحتی وحفرتی ایتی وحربتی ایتی بماد ماد شنیم عسور لامیتا لغوی کوذیل،

و ترجمه اش بفارسی اینست، یعنی شنیدیم گفته ترا ای ابرهیم درباره اسمعیل. پس در او برکت بخشیده صاحب ثمره و میوه اش خواهم ساخت، و اولاد او را بسیار خواهم گردانید، و از فرزندان او ماد ماد و دوازده شریف منیف و امت عطیه اخراج خواهم نمود.

3. Deuteronomy 18:13 and 15

תְּמִים תְּהִיָּה עִם יְהוָה אֱלֹהֶיךָ (...) בְּיָמָא מִקְרָבָךְ מֵאֲחֵיךָ כְּמִנִּי יָקִים לְךָ יְהוָה אֱלֹהֶיךָ אֱלֹוֹ תִשְׁמַעוּ.

چه در فصل شوفطیم (...) باین عبارت: تامیم تهیه عیم ادونای الوهینخا ناینا میترخا میاحخا کامونی یاقیم لخا ادونای الوهینخا ایلاو تیشماغن،
 ترجمه اش اینست: شوفطیم، جمع شوفط، یعنی بزرگان صاحبان حکم، تامیم یعنی در صاف دلی تمام، تهیه باش، عیم بمعنی مع، ادونای یعنی بزرگوار، الوهینخا یعنی خداوند تو، ناینا یعنی نبی مرسل، میترخا از خویشان تو ای بنو اسرائیل، میاحخا از برادران تو، کامونی یعنی مانند من که موسی پیغمبرم و اولو العزم، یاقیم این پیغمبر بر خواهد انگیزاند، لخا از برای تو، ادونای بزرگوار، الوهینخا خداوند تو، ایلاو بگفته او، تیشماغن بشنوند، (...)

نابی یعنی پیغمبر، میعبّر در میانه، بِخا⁴² یعنی مآخّاز از برادران تو، کاوونی یعنی مانند من صاحب شریعت تازه، یاَقِم بر انگیزاند، لِحابتو، ادونای یعنی خدای، الوهخا یعنی آفریننده تو، لاو یعنی سخن او، تَشْماعُون یعنی بشنوید.

4. Deuteronomy 18:7-19

בְּיָמֵי אֲקִים לָהֶם מִקְרֵב אֲחֵיהֶם כְּמוֹד וְנִתְּתִי דְבָרִי בְּפִיו וְדָבַר אֲלֵיהֶם אֶת כָּל-אֲשֶׁר אֶצְוֶנּוּ יְהִיָּה הַאִישׁ אֲשֶׁר לֹא-יִשְׁמַע אֶל-דְּבָרִי אֲשֶׁר יְדַבֵּר בְּשֵׁמִי אֲנֹכִי אֲדַרְשׁ מֵעַמּוֹ.
 נָבִי אֲצִיֵּם לָהֶם מִיִּקְרָב אֲחֵיהֶם כְּמוֹחָ וְנֹתִי וְבָרִי בִּינֹו וְדִבֵּר אֲלֵיהֶם אֵת כָּל אִשְׁרֵי אֲצוּי מִינּוֹ וְהָבֵא הָאִישׁ אִשְׁרֵי לוֹ לִישְׁמַע אֶל דְּבָרֵי אִשְׁרֵי יִדְבֵּר בִּשְׁמִי אֲנֹחִי אֲדַרְשׁ מִיִּעַמּוֹ.
 ترجمه اش اینست: نابی یعنی پیغمبر مرسل، آقِم بر خواهم انگیزاند، لاهم از برای ایشان یعنی بنی اسرائیل، میقرب یعنی از میان، احم برادران ایشان، کاموخوا مانند تو ای موسی، وناتی بدهم، وباری سخنان من، یینو در دهن او، ودیبر و سخن گوید، الهم بایشان یعنی بنی اسرائیل، ائ کل اشر اصوی مینو مر جمله آنچه بفرمایم او را، وهایا باشد، هائش آمد، اشر آنچه، لو لیשמع نشنود، ال دباری بکلام من، اشر آنچه، یدبر سخن گوید، یشمی بنام من،⁴³ اذروش طلب می نمایم، میعمو از نزد او.

5. Deuteronomy 34:10

וְלֹא-קָם עוֹד בְּיִשְׂרָאֵל כְּמֹשֶׁה אֲשֶׁר יָדְעוּ יְהוָה פָּנִים אֶל-פָּנִים.
 وُلּוּפָאָם נָבִי עוֹד בְּיִסְרָאֵיל كُوش اَشر يَدَاعُو اَدُونَايَ پَانِم اَلْبَانِم.
 وُلُوفَام بر نحواست، نابی پیغمبر مرسل، عود بر خواست پیغمبر دیگر، یسرائیل در بنی اسرائیل، کوش مانند موسای، اشر آنچه، یداعو شناخت او را، ادونای بزرگوار، نانیم اَلْبَانِم روی بروی.
 مفسران یهودان که سر کرده ایشانند تفسیر این: اَبَالِ بَامُوْلَ هَاْعُوْلَام قَام [اَبَلِ بَامُوْت هَاْعُوْلَمِ قَام]،⁴⁴
 یعنی در بنی اسرائیل همچنین پیغمبری بر نحواست، اما در غیر بنی اسرائیل بر خواست. بنابر این تفسیر باید که از نسل اسماعیل پیغمبری مانند موسی بر خیزد.

⁴² The Arabic transcription of מִקְרָבָד is split into the parts میعبّر and بِخا.

⁴³ A translation of [אֲנֹחִי] אֲנֹחִי as it appears in the quotation is lacking here.

⁴⁴ *Sifre Devarim*, paragraph 357, 10. I owe this reference to Camilla Adang.

6. *Habakkuk 3:3*

أَلُوَّةٌ مَتِيْمٌ بَوَا يَكْدُوش مِهَر-فَارَنْ سَلَه سَمِيَسِ هُوْدُو وَتَهَلْتُو مَلَأَه هَارِمْ.
 أَلُوَّةُ اللهِ تَعَالَى، مَيْتِيْمَانِ از طرف مغرب، يَاوُوْ مِي آيد، وَفُوْدَش اللهُ كِه قُدُوسِ اسْت، نَهْرُ
 بَارَانِ از كُوه فَارَانِ، صَلَا هَمِيْشَه،⁴⁵ كِيصَا بِيوشَانْد، شَمَائِيْمِ آسَمَانِهَا رَا، هُوْدُوْ شَكُوْه مَنْدِي
 اُو، اُوْمَيْلَاثُوْ مَدَحِ اُو پَر كَرْدَانِيْد، مَلَاوْ مَمْلُوْ سَاخْت، هَاْرَضِ رُوِي زَمِيْنِ رَا.

7. *Zechariah 9:9*

غِيْلِيْ مَادَرِ بَت-عِيْزُوْنِ هَرِيْعِيْ بَتِ يَرُوشَلَمِ هِنَه مَلِكِدْ يَبُوَا لَدْ צָדִיק וְנוֹשָׁע הוּא עֲנִי וְרִכֵב
 עַל-חֲמֹר וְעַל-עִיר בְּדֹאֲתָנוֹת.
 قِيْلِيْ عِنِيْ خَرْمِ شُومِ، مَأُوُوْ عِنِيْ بَسِيَارِ، بَتْصِيُوْنِ عِنِيْ جَاعَتِ صَيِّدَا كِه عِبَارَتْسْت
 از دَهِيْ كِه دَر نَوَاحِيْ بِيْتِ الْمَقْدَسِ اسْت، هَارِيْعِيْ غَلْبَه كِي مِي كَنْد، بَتِ عِنِيْ
 جَاعَتِ، بَرُوشَالَايِمِ عِنِيْ آن شَهْرِ، هَنْ اَيْنَكْ، مَلِكِيْخِ عِنِيْ پَادشَاهِ تُو، بَاوُوْ بِيَايِد، لَآخِ عِنِيْ
 بَتُو، صَدِيْقِ عِنِيْ رَاسْتِ كَفْتَارِ رَاسْتِ كَرْدَارِ، وَنُوشَعِ عِنِيْ فَرَجِ دَهَنْدَه، هُوْ عِنِيْ اُو، اِفْتَادَه
 وَ دَرُوِيْشِ نِهَادِ⁴⁶ كِه عِبَارَتْسْتِ از خَلْقِ عَظِيْمِ اُو، وَرُجْبِ عِنِيْ سَوَارِ، عُلْحُمُوْرِ عِنِيْ
 بَرِ خَرِ، وَعَلْغَايِرِ عِنِيْ بَرِ عَيْرِ عِنِيْ كِه شَتْرِ جَاهَزَه، وَعَلْ مَنصَلِ بَحْمُودِ وَ غَايِرِ مَعْنِيْ عَلِي
 اسْت، بِنِ اَنْتُوْنُوْ عِنِيْ پَسَرِ اصِيْلِ مَنْدِ وَ بَزْرَكْسْتِ.

8. *Isaiah 9:2*

הָעָם הַהֲלֹכִים בַּחֹשֶׁךְ רָאוּ אֹרֹךְ גְּדוֹלַת יְשׁוּבֵי בְּאֶרֶץ צְלָמוֹת אֹרֹךְ נִגְהָ עֲלֵיהֶם.
 هَاغَامِ اِيْ قَوْمِ بَنِيْ اِسْرَائِيْلِ، هَهُوْ لَحِيْمِ آن رَاهِ نُورْدَانِ، بَحُوْسُخِ عِنِيْ دَر تَارِيْكِي، زَاوُوْ اَيْنَسْت
 كِه دِيْدَنْد، اُوْرَ عِنِيْ نُورِ، كَادُوْلِ عِنِيْ بَزْرَكِ، يُوْشِيْ عِنِيْ نَشَسْتِكَاْنِ، بَارُضِ عِنِيْ دَر
 زَمِيْنِ، صَلْمَاوْثِ عِنِيْ ظَلْمَاتِ، اُوْرَ عِنِيْ نُورِ، نَاعَهْ عَلِيْهَمْ مِي دَرخَشْدِ بَرِ اِيْشَانِ.

⁴⁵ Interestingly, the translation of the puzzling term سَلَه as “always” (*hamisha*) is already to be found in the early Jewish tradition, cf. Snaith, “Selah,” p. 55.

⁴⁶ The corresponding transcription of the term עֲנִי in Arabic characters is lacking here.

Bibliography

- ‘Abd al-Ḥaqq al-Islāmī, *al-Sayf al-mamdūd fī l-radd ‘alā aḥbār al-Yahūd* (*Espada extendida para refutar a los sabios judíos*), ed. and trans. Esperanza Alfonso, Madrid: Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, 1998.
- Adang, Camilla, *Muslim Writers on Judaism and the Hebrew Bible: From Ibn Rabban to Ibn Hazm*, Leiden: Brill, 1996.
- , “A Polemic against Judaism by a Convert to Islam from the Ottoman Period: *Risālat Ilzām al-Yahūd fīmā za‘amū fī l-Tawrāt min qibal ‘ilm al-kalām*,” *Journal asiatique* 297 (2009), pp. 131–151.
- , “A Rare Case of Biblical ‘Testimonies’ to the Prophet Muḥammad in Mu‘tazilite Literature: Quotations from Ibn Rabban al-Ṭabarī’s *Kitāb al-Dīn wa-al-dawla* in Abu l-Ḥusayn al-Baṣīr’s *Ghurur al-adilla*, as Preserved in a Work by al-Ḥimmaṣī al-Rāzī,” in *A Common Rationality: Mu‘tazilism in Islam and Judaism*, eds Camilla Adang, Sabine Schmidtke and David Sklare, Würzburg: Ergon, 2007, pp. 297–330.
- , and Sabine Schmidtke (eds), *Contacts and Controversies between Muslims, Jews and Christians in the Ottoman Empire and Pre-Modern Iran*, Würzburg: Ergon, 2010.
- Aḥmad ‘Alavī, *Laṭā‘ef-e ghaybiyya: Āyāt al-‘aqā‘id*, ed. Jamāl al-Dīn Mir Dāmādi, [Tehran]: Ḥaydarī, 1396/[1976].
- , *Lavāme‘-e rabbānī*, MS Cambridge, University Dd.6.83.
- , “Lavāme‘-e rabbānī,” in *Dā‘erat al-ma‘āref-e Qor‘ān-e karīm*, vol. 2, ed. Ḥasan Sa‘īd, Tehran: Chehal-setūn, 1406/[1985–1986], pp. 20–183.
- , *Meşqal-e şafā’*, MS Mashhad, Āstān-e Qods 256.
- , *Meşqal-e şafā’*, MS Paris, Bibliothèque nationale Suppl. persan 12.
- , *Meşqal-e şafā’*, MS Rome, Biblioteca vaticana Borg. pers. 5.
- , *Meşqal-e şafā’*, MS Tehran, Dāneshgāh 3531 F (‘aksi).
- , *Meşqal-e şafā’*, MS Tehran, Majles 715.
- , *Meşqal-e şafā dar naqd-e kalām-e masīḥiyyat*, ed. Ḥāmid Nāji Eşfahānī, Qom: Amīr, 1415/1373/[1994].
- Ardalān Javān, ‘Alī, et al., *Fehrest-e kotob-e khaṭṭī-ye Ketābkhāna-ye Markazī-ye Āstān-e Qods-e Rażavī* 1-, Mashhad: Mo’assasa-ye Chāp va Enteshārāt-e Āstān-e Qods-e Rażavī, 21365–/[1987–].
- Āşef Fekrat, Moḥammad, *Fehrest-e alefbā‘ī-ye kotob-e khaṭṭī-ye Ketābkhāna-ye Markazī-ye Āstān-e Qods-e Rażavī*, Mashhad: Mo’assasa-ye Chāp va Enteshārāt-e Āstān-e Qods-e Rażavī, 1369/[1990].
- Bahā’ al-Dīn Muḥammad b. Ḥusayn al-‘Āmilī, *al-Kashkūl* 1–2, ed. Muḥammad Şādiq Naşīrī, Qum: Dār al-‘Ilm, 1378/[1958].
- Baumstark, Anton, “Zu den Schriftzitaten al-Kirmānīs,” *Der Islam* 20 (1932), pp. 308–313.
- al-Bayāḏī, ‘Alī b. Yūnus, *al-Şirāṭ al-mustaḳīm ilā mustaḥiqqīl-taqdīm* 1–3, ed. Muḥammad al-Bāqir al-Bahbūdī, Tehran: al-Murtaḏawiyya, 1384/[1964].
- Bloch, Edgar, *Catalogue des manuscrits persans de la Bibliothèque nationale* 1–4, Paris: Imprimerie nationale, 1905–1934.
- Bosworth, Clifford E., *Bahā’ al-Dīn al-‘Āmilī and His Literary Anthologies*, Manchester: University, 1989.
- Corbin, Henry, “Annuaire 1976–1977: Shī‘isme et christianisme à Ispahan au XVIIe siècle: L’oeuvre de Sayyed Ahmad ‘Alavī Ispahānī,” in *Itinéraire d’un enseignement: Résumé*

- des conférences à l'École pratique des hautes études (Section des sciences religieuses) 1955–1979, Tehran: Institut français de recherche en Iran, 1993, pp. 169–173.
- , *En Islam iranien: Aspects spirituels et philosophiques* 1–4, Paris: Gallimard, 1971–1972.
- , *La philosophie iranienne islamique aux XVIIe à XVIIIe siècles*, Paris: Buchet/Chastel, 1981.
- , “Theologoumena iranica,” *Studia Iranica* 5 (1976), pp. 225–235.
- Dāneshpazhūh, Moḥammad T., *Fehrest-e mukrūfīlmhā-ye Ketābkhāna-ye Markazī-ye Dāneshgāh-e Tehrān* 1–3, Tehran: Dāneshgāh, 1348–1363/[1969–1984].
- Derāyatī, Moṣṭafā, *Fehrestvāra-ye dastneveshtā-ye Īrān* 1–12, Tehran: Majles, 1389/[2010].
- E'teṣāmī, Yūsuf, et al., *Fehrest-e Ketābkhāna-ye Majles-e Shūrā-ye Mellī* 1, Tehran: Majles, 1305–/[1926–].
- Flannery, John M., “The Persian Mission of the Order of Augustinian Hermits, 1602–1747: A Study in the Relations between the Catholic Church, Shi'a Islam and Eastern Christianity,” Ph.D. dissertation, University of London, 2009.
- Goldziher, Ignaz, “Sa'īd b. Hasan d'Alexandrie,” *Revue des études juives* 30 (1895), pp. 1–23.
- Griffith, Sidney H., *The Bible in Arabic: The Scriptures of the 'People of the Book' in the Language of Islam*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2013.
- , *The Church in the Shadow of the Mosque: Christians and Muslims in the World of Islam*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2008.
- Halft, Dennis, “Schiitische Polemik gegen das Christentum im safawidischen Iran des 11./17. Jahrhunderts: Sayyid Aḥmad 'Alawīs *Lawāmi'-i rabbānī dar radd-i šubha-yi naṣrānī*,” in *Contacts and Controversies*, eds Camilla Adang and Sabine Schmidtke, Würzburg: Ergon, 2010, pp. 273–334.
- Harrow, Leonard, “Notes on Catholic-Shī'ī Relations during the Safavid Period,” *Journal of Eastern Christian Studies* 63 (2011), pp. 99–121.
- Hasenmüller, Monika, “Die Beschreibung Muḥammads im Evangelium: Eine muslimische Polemik gegen die Christen aus dem osmanischen Reich (Anfang 18. Jhd.),” in *Contacts and Controversies*, eds Camilla Adang and Sabine Schmidtke, Würzburg: Ergon, 2010, pp. 83–195.
- Ḥurr al-'Āmilī, Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan, *al-Jawāhir al-saniyya fi l-aḥādīth al-qudsiyya*, Qum: al-Mufid, 1384/[1964].
- Ibn 'Ayyāsh al-Jawharī, Aḥmad b. Muḥammad, *Muqtaḍab al-athar fi l-naṣṣ 'alā l-a'immat al-ithnay 'ashar*, ed. Ḥāshim al-Rasūlī l-Maḥallātī, Qum: al-Ṭabāṭabā'ī, n.d.
- Ibn Bābawayh al-Qummī, Muḥammad b. 'Alī, K. *al-tawḥīd*, ed. Ḥāshim al-Ḥusaynī l-Ṭihrānī, Beirut: Dār al-Ma'rifa, n.d.
- , *'Uyūn akhbār al-Riḍā* 1–2, ed. Mahdī l-Ḥusaynī l-Lājawardī, Qum: Dār al-'Ilm, 1377–1379/[1958–1959].
- Ibn Shahrāshūb, Muḥammad b. 'Alī, *Manāqib Āl Abī Ṭālib* 1–3, ed. Lajna min asātidhat al-Najaf, al-Najaf: al-Ḥaydariyya, 1376/[1956].
- Ibn Ṭāwūs, 'Alī b. Mūsā, *al-Ṭarā'if fi ma'rifat madhāhib al-ṭawā'if*, Qum: al-Khayyām, 1399/[1979].
- Khan, Geoffrey, *Karaite Bible Manuscripts from the Cairo Genizah*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990.

- , “The Medieval Karaite Transcriptions of Hebrew into Arabic Script,” *Israel Oriental Studies* 12 (1992), pp. 157–176.
- Kraus, Paul, “Hebräische und syrische Zitate in ismāʿīlitischen Schriften,” *Der Islam* 19 (1931), pp. 243–263.
- Lazarus-Yafeh, Hava, *Intertwined Worlds: Medieval Islam and Bible Criticism*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1992.
- al-Majlisī, Muḥammad Bāqir b. Muḥammad Taqī, *Bihār al-anwār* 0–28, 35–110, ed. Jawād ʿAlawī, et al., Tehran: Dār al-Kutub al-Islāmiyya, 1376–1405/[1957–1985].
- al-Maqdisī, Muṭaḥhar b. Ṭāhir, *K. al-badʿ wa-l-taʿriḫ* 1–6, ed. Clément Huart, Paris: Leroux, 1899–1919.
- Monferrer Sala, Juan Pedro, “Siete citas hebreas, más una aramea, transcritas al árabe en el *Iʿlām* del Imām al-Qurṭubī,” *Miscelánea de estudios árabes y hebráicos* 48 (1999), pp. 393–403.
- Monzavī, ʿAlī N. and Moḥammad T. Dāneshpazhūh, *Fehrest-e noskakhā-ye khaṭṭī-ye Ketābkhāna-ye Markazī va Markaz-e Asnād-e Dāneshgāh-e Tehrān* 1-, Tehran: Dāneshgāh, 1330–/[1951–].
- Nickel, Gordon D., *Narratives of Tampering in the Earliest Commentaries on the Qurʾān*, Leiden: Brill, 2011.
- al-Nuʿmānī, Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm, *K. al-ghayba*, ed. Fāris Ḥassūn Karīm, Qum: Anwār al-Hudā, 1422/[2001–2002].
- Pfeiffer, Judith, “Confessional Polarization in the 17th Century Ottoman Empire and Yūsuf Ibn Ebī ʿAbdūʿd-Deyyān’s *Keşfü’l-esrār fī ilzāmī’l-Yehūd ve’l-aḥbār*,” in *Contacts and Controversies*, eds Camilla Adang and Sabine Schmidtke, Würzburg: Ergon, 2010, pp. 15–55.
- al-Qarāfī, Aḥmad b. Idris, *al-Ajwibat al-fākhira ʿan al-asʿulat al-fājira*, ed. Majdī Muḥammad al-Shahāwī, Beirut: ʿĀlam al-Kutub, 1426/2005.
- Richard, Francis, “Catholicisme et Islam chiite au ‘grand siècle’: Autour de quelques documents concernant les Missions catholiques au XVIIème siècle,” *Euntes docete. Commentaria urbaniana* 33 (1980), pp. 339–403.
- , “Le Père Aimé Chézaud controversiste et ses manuscrits persans,” *Nāmeḥ-ye Bahārestān* 6–7 (1385–1386/2005–2006), pp. 7–18.
- Rossi, Ettore, *Elenco dei manoscritti persiani della Biblioteca vaticana: Vaticani, barberiniani, borgiani, rossiani*, Vatican City: Biblioteca apostolica vaticana, 1948.
- al-Saʿūdī, Abū l-Faḍl, *al-Muntakhab al-jalīl min Takhjīl man ḥarrafa l-Injīl*, ed. Frederik Jacob van den Ham, *Disputatio pro religione Mohammedanorum adversus Christianos*, Leiden: Brill, 1890.
- , *al-Muntakhab al-jalīl min Takhjīl man ḥarrafa l-Injīl*, ed. Ramaḍān al-Ṣafanāwī l-Badrī, Cairo: Dār al-Ḥadīth, 1418/1997.
- Schmidtke, Sabine, “Abū al-Ḥusayn al-Baṣrī and His Transmission of Biblical Materials from *Kitāb al-Dīn wa-al-dawla* by Ibn Rabban al-Ṭabarī: The Evidence from Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī’s *Maḥāṭiḥ al-ghayb*,” *Islam and Christian-Muslim Relations* 20 (2009), pp. 105–118.
- , “Abū al-Ḥusayn al-Baṣrī on the Torah and Its Abrogation,” *Mélanges de l’Université Saint-Joseph* 61 (2008), pp. 559–580.
- , “Biblical Predictions of the Prophet Muḥammad among the Zaydīs of Iran,” *Arabica* 59 (2012), pp. 218–266.

- , “The Muslim Reception of Biblical Materials: Ibn Qutayba and His *A’lām al-nubuwwa*,” *Islam and Christian-Muslim Relations* 22 (2011), pp. 249–274.
- , and Camilla Adang, “Aḥmad b. Muṣṭafā Tāshkubrīzāde’s (d. 968/1561) Polemical Tract against Judaism,” *Al-Qanṭara. Revista de Estudios Arabes* 29 (2008), pp. 79–113, 537–538.
- Schreiner, Martin, “Zur Geschichte der Aussprache des Hebräischen,” *Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft* 6 (1886), pp. 213–259.
- Snaith, Norman H., “Selah,” *Vetus Testamentum* 2 (1952), pp. 43–56.
- al-Suddī, Ismā’il b. ‘Abd al-Raḥmān, *Tafsīr al-Suddī l-kabīr*, ed. Muḥammad ‘Aṭā Yūsuf, al-Manṣūra: Dār al-Wafā’, 1414/1993.
- al-Ṭabarsī, Faḍl b. Ḥasan, *I’lām al-warā bi-a’lām al-hudā* 1–2, Qum: Mu’assasat Āl al-Bayt li-Iḥyā’ al-Turāth, 1417/[1996].
- Vollandt, Ronny, “Christian-Arabic Translations of the Pentateuch from the 9th to the 13th Centuries: A Comparative Study of Manuscripts and Translation Techniques,” Ph.D. dissertation, University of Cambridge, 2011.
- Weston, Sidney A., “The *Kitāb Masālik an-Nazar* of Sa’īd ibn Ḥasan of Alexandria: Edited for the First Time and Translated with Introduction and Notes,” *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 24 (1903), pp. 312–383.